UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,282	08/27/2003	Guo Liu	SMBZ 2 01002	5488
27885 FAY SHARPE	7590 07/01/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
	R AVENUE, SEVEN	THOMPSON, CAMIE S		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/649,282	LIU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Camie S. Thompson	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3 and 6-44 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-41 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-31 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3 and 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access are subjected to by the Examine are subjected to be are subjected to by the Examine are subjected to be are subjected to be are subjected to be are subjected to by the Examine are subjected to be are subjected t	n from consideration. r election requirement.	Evaminor			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the objec	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 28, 2008 has been entered.

2. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed May 28, 2008 are acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukada, U.S. Patent Number 4,937,150.

Tsukada discloses an ultrafine grain fluorescent body comprising an ultrafine grain luminescent material such as ZnS containing an activator such as Eu (see reference claims 1-2). Additionally, the reference discloses that the grain size of the luminescent material from several hundred to several thousand angstroms (which is in the range of the crystal grain dimension of the present claims) and that the luminescent layer for an EL device comprising the fluorescent material,

Application/Control Number: 10/649,282

Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

ZnS:Eu, has a thickness of 50 to 100 microns (see column 6, lines 3-19). The Tsukada reference does not disclose that the atomic ratio of the activator material to zinc is about 0.005 to 0.02. However, this is an optimizable feature. The atomic ratio of the activator material to zinc affects the luminescence of the fluorescent material. Discovery of optimum values of result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art *in re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the atomic ratio of the europium to the zinc be in the range of 0.005 to 0.02 in order to have a luminescent layer in an EL device have increased luminescence and brightness.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukada, U.S. Patent Number 4,937,150 in view of Hampden-Smith, U.S. Patent Number 5,837,320.

Tsukada discloses an ultrafine grain fluorescent body comprising an ultrafine grain luminescent material such as ZnS containing an activator such as Eu (see reference claims 1-2). Additionally, the reference discloses that the grain size of the luminescent material from several hundred to several thousand angstroms (which is in the range of the crystal grain dimension of the present claims) and that the luminescent layer for an EL device comprising the fluorescent material, ZnS:Eu, has a thickness of 50 to 100 microns (see column 6, lines 3-19). The Tsukada reference does not disclose that the atomic ratio of the activator material to zinc is about 0.005 to 0.02. However, this is an optimizable feature. The atomic ratio of the activator material to zinc affects the luminescence of the fluorescent material. Discovery of optimum values of result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art *in re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the

Art Unit: 1794

atomic ratio of the europium to the zinc be in the range of 0.005 to 0.02 in order to have a luminescent layer in an EL device have increased luminescence and brightness. Additionally, the Tsukada reference does not disclose that the fluorescent material is a sphalerite. The Hampden-Smith reference discloses ZnS thin films that have a sphalerite crystal structure (see column 17, lines 44-54). Additionally, the reference discloses that the typical films have a grain size around 60-100 nm. Also, Hampden-Smith discloses that the ZnS phosphor can be doped with europium or terbium (see reference claims 1, 18 and 20). It is disclosed in the reference that zinc sulfide is deposited by chemical vapor deposition (see entire document). Sphalerite has a unique reflection. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a sphalerite crystal structure in order to have a fluorescent material that has highly desirable properties due to the high orientation of the sphalerite crystal structure.

- 6. Claims 11-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest the recited electroluminescent device comprising the phosphor of claim 1, further including one or more of
 - i) interface modifying layers on one or both sides of the phosphor film to improve the stability of the interface between the phosphor and the rest of the device;
 - ii) a hermetic enclosure for the electroluminescent device; and
 - iii) an oxygen getter incorporated into the device.
- 1. Claims 21-31 and 42-44 are allowed. The prior art does not provide for a thick film dielectric electroluminescent device comprising:

Art Unit: 1794

a thin phosphor layer of formula ZnS:Re, wherein said phosphor layer has a crystal grain

size of up to about 50 nm and Re is selected from terbium and europium; and

a structure and/or substance to minimize or prevent reaction of the fine grained phosphor

with oxygen, wherein said structure or substance comprises one or more of;

i) interface modifying layers on one or both sides of the phosphor film to improve the

stability of the interface between the phosphor and the rest of the device;

ii) a hermetic enclosure for the electroluminescent device; and

iii) an oxygen getter incorporated into the device.

Additionally, the prior art does not provide for a thick film dielectric electroluminescent

device comprising:

A 0.5 to 1.0 µm thick phosphor layer of formula ZnS:Re, wherein said phosphor layer has

a sphalerite crystal structure with a crystal grain size of up to about 50 nm and Re is selected

from terbium or europium; and

i) interface modifying layers on one or both sides of the phosphor film to improve the

stability of the interface between the phosphor film and the rest of the device, wherein said

interface modifying layers are comprised of pure zinc sulfide or silicon nitride.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the instant claims have been considered but are not

persuasive. Applicant argues that the phosphor of the Tsukada reference is coated and that the

phosphor of the present claims is uncoated. The claims recite open language, which can include

Art Unit: 1794

the phosphor being dispersed in a matrix. The claims do not limit the phosphor from being dispersed in a matrix. Also, applicant argues the manner in which the phosphor is manufactured. Process limitations in product claims are not given any patentable weight. Applicant argues that the phosphor of the present claims is vacuum deposited. Tsukada discloses a phosphor comprising zinc sulfide as does the present claims. The claims are not drawn to a film but a phosphor. The manner in which the phosphor is deposited is not given any patentable weight. The rejections are maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached at (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Bruce H Hess/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Application/Control Number: 10/649,282

Page 7

Art Unit: 1794

1.